Surface Hydrogen Incorporation and Profile Broadening Caused by Sheath Expansion in Hydrogen Plasma Immersion Ion Implantation
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Abstract—Hydrogen plasma immersion ion implantation (PIII) in conjunction with ion-cut is an efficient and economical technique to synthesize silicon-on-insulator (SOI) substrates. Unlike beam-line ion implantation, the PIII hydrogen profile usually exhibits multiple peaks because of different implanted species, such as \( \text{H}_2^+ \), \( \text{H}_3^+ \), and \( \text{H}_4^+ \). In addition, a certain amount of adsorbed hydrogen exists near the surface and the hydrogen in-depth distribution is broader than that of a beam-line implant also as a result of a low-energy component. For the ion-cut process, the broadened hydrogen profile and surface hydrogen can decrease the efficiency of the blistering process, induce uneven exfoliation, and degrade the interfacial quality of the bonded wafer. Hydrogen can adsorb on the wafer surface during the “off-cycle” of the sample voltage pulse and consequently be driven in by ion mixing or diffusion. In order to reduce surface hydrogen incorporation, the implantation time must be short, and this requires an efficient cooling mechanism on the sample stage because a high ion current is needed to implant a high dose in a short time (less than 5 min). Another mechanism of profile broadening is that the expanding sheath creates low-energy ions during PIII. Our experimental and simulation data disclose that profile broadening is less severe for a shorter sample voltage pulsewidth and that good blistering characteristics can be achieved using a long pulse, in spite of a relatively long implantation time of 1 h.

Index Terms—Ion-cut, plasma immersion ion implantation (PIII), silicon-on-insulator (SOI).

I. INTRODUCTION

SILICON-ON-INSULATOR (SOI) is a desirable substrate to fabricate low-power, low-voltage microelectronics devices [1], [2]. The two mainstream techniques are separation by implantation of oxygen (SIMOX) and bonding-and-etching SOI (BESOI). Bruel et al. recently demonstrated that SOI wafers could be produced using a layer transfer technique encompassing hydrogen implantation, wafer bonding, and cleavage [3], and this process has attracted much attention [4], [5]. Plasma immersion ion implantation (PIII) has been proposed to be an alternative to conventional beam-line ion implantation in this ion-cut process [6], [7]. PIII is an efficient and economical approach to implant a high dose of hydrogen into a silicon wafer, and as the implantation time is independent of the wafer diameter, it is more appealing for larger wafers. However, PIII has a practical voltage limit of about 100 kV and is not the preferred technique to make thick SOI substrates for power devices, but for fully depleted complementary metal oxide silicon (CMOS) devices requiring thin SOI, PIII ion-cut is indeed attractive [8]–[10].

In a typical PIII ion-cut process, the wafer is immersed in a hydrogen plasma and pulsed biased to a negative voltage to initiate ion implantation. Depending on the plasma density, the implantation current can be high (>1 A). Because the whole wafer is implanted simultaneously, the energy deposited onto the wafer can be on the order of several kilowatts. Without careful control of the experimental conditions, such as sample cooling, the sample can get very hot and even melt. For instance, in the DC mode, 5-min hydrogen implantation at 40 kV can heat the sample up to 500 °C, a temperature high enough to cause premature exfoliation during the implantation process. For the ion-cut process, the silicon wafer must be implanted at less than 300 °C to retain the implanted hydrogen and avoid surface blistering [8]. Therefore, the preferred operating mode is pulsed PIII as the pulsing frequency can be reduced to alay wafer heating, unless an extremely efficient sample cooling mechanism is implemented.

SOI wafers up to 200 mm in diameter have been successfully fabricated using PIII ion cut. However, the implanted hydrogen profile as revealed by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) does not show a distribution resembling that of a beam-line implant. A high surface hydrogen concentration exists, and the hydrogen in-depth distribution is broader, sometimes extending from the near-surface region to the projected range of \( \text{H}_2^+ \) (H\(^+\) population is negligible in our system [11]) if a large quantity of \( \text{H}_2^+ \) and \( \text{H}_3^+ \) coexists in the plasma. As the entire wafer is immersed in a hydrogen plasma during PIII, hydrogen atoms can adsorb onto the wafer surface during the “off-cycle” of the voltage pulse and subsequently diffuse or be knocked into the substrate by ion mixing. If this surface hydrogen concentration is high, it can impede wafer bonding and leaves patches of voids on the SOI or acceptor wafer. Another cause of the broadening in the hydrogen profile is the sheath expansion at the beginning of each pulse. When a negative pulse is applied to the wafer, electrons are repelled on the time scale of \( 10^{-10} \) s and a plasma sheath is formed. The sheath continues to expand and ions are brought in motion on the time scale of \( 10^{-7} \) s. The time to achieve a static Child law sheath state is on the order of 10 ns.
or longer. Because the sheath propagation is much slower than ion movement, this period can be described by the quasistatic Child law [12]. Although the ions in the quasistatic Child law sheath are implanted at a voltage almost equal to the applied voltage, the ions in the sheath, especially those in the vicinity of the sample surface, are implanted at a lower energy. The lowest

Fig. 1. Surface morphologies (500x optical micrographs) of the heat-treated samples implanted using five different pulsewidths: (a) 5 μs, (b) 10 μs, (c) 30 μs, (d) 60 μs, and (e) 100 μs.
energy can be near zero if the initial position of the hydrogen ion is just above the wafer at the beginning of the pulse. In this work, silicon wafers are implanted using different pulsedwidths and annealed to cause blistering. The samples implanted using a longer pulsedwidth are observed to show better blistering efficacy. The results can be explained by a reduction of the low-energy component in a long pulse mode and supported by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) and simulation data.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Hydrogen PIII experiments were conducted in our semiconductor PIII machine [14] employing five pulse durations: 5, 10, 30, 60, and 100 μs. P-type, 100-mm, 10–20 Ω-cm, (100) silicon wafers were implanted. The RF power was 300 W, working pressure was $4 \times 10^{-4}$ torr, and implantation voltage was 20 kV. In order to isolate the effects of the sheath expansion, that is, keeping the amount of adsorbed hydrogen constant, the implantation time was the same for all samples (1 h). The pulsing frequency for each run was adjusted to maintain the same integrated current for all samples. The nominal implantation dose was $5 \times 10^{16}$ atoms/cm$^2$. The voltage and current waveforms were similar to the ones reported in our other paper [15]. After implantation, the samples were annealed at 600°C for 5 min to assess the blistering efficacy.

III. SIMULATION

We employ a one-dimensional (1-D) particle-in-cell method [16] to simulate the energy distribution of the implanted ions at pulse durations of 10, 60, and 100 μs. The rise time and fall time of each pulse used in the simulation are based on the experimental waveforms of our modulator and are 1 μs and 3 μs, respectively [15]. The plasma consists mainly of H$_2^+$ ions [10], [11], [15]. The plasma density is $1.0 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$, and “noncollisional” conditions are satisfied because of the low-working gas pressure. The applied voltage is $-20$ kV. The potential, $\phi$, is related to the ion density, $n_i$, and electron density, $n_e$, by Poisson’s equation

$$\nabla^2 \phi = -\frac{(n_i - n_e)}{\varepsilon_o}$$

where $\varepsilon_o$ is the dielectric constant. The electron temperature $T_e$ is 2 eV, and $n_e$ is given by Boltzmann’s function

$$n_e = n_o \exp \left( \frac{q\phi}{T_e} \right)$$

where $q$ is the elemental charge. The potential, $\phi$, is solved by (1) and finite difference. The acceleration $a$, initial velocity $v_i$, final velocity $v_f$, and displacement $x$ of each ion within a time step $\Delta t$ is obtained by Newton’s equations

$$v_f = v_i + a\Delta t$$
$$x = v_i\Delta t + \frac{1}{2}a(\Delta t)^2.$$  

A total of 40,000 particles are used in the simulation. Each particle represents $3.75 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-2}$ density. The grid spacing is 0.75 cm and the time step is $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ μs.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bubble formation is the basic phenomenon inducing layer cleavage in the ion-cut technique. Coalescence of the bubbles or microcavities along the peak of the implant distribution creates a structurally weakened plane parallel to the wafer surface. If the implanted wafer (a donor wafer in ion-cut terminology) is bonded to another wafer (an acceptor wafer), layer cleavage occurs along the weakened plane in the donor wafer and the detached film is transferred to the acceptor wafer. In the absence of a stiffener or bonded wafer on the implanted wafer, bubble formation leads to surface blistering, which is visible under an optical microscope and sometimes to the naked eyes. The bubble density and size provide a quick, albeit indirect, manifestation of the effectiveness of the exfoliation process. That is, a denser
bubble distribution and bigger bubbles give rise to more effective cleavage and layer transfer in the ion-cut process. Fig. 1 depicts the optical pictures of the five silicon samples implanted using five different pulsewidths and, subsequently, heated to cause spontaneous blistering. Bubbles cannot be observed on the samples implanted using a pulse width of 5 s [Fig. 1(a)] and 10 s [Fig. 1(b)], whereas the sample implanted using 100 s pulse width [Fig. 1(e)] displays the biggest bubbles and highest density. The bubbles on the other two samples [30 s in Fig. 1(c) and 60 s Fig. 1(d)] are smaller than those in Fig. 1(e), with Fig. 1(c) showing smaller and not as many bubbles. As the implant dose is nominally the same (verified by SIMS; see Fig. 4), the phenomenon cannot be explained by a dose effect. It must stem from a difference in the implanted hydrogen distribution as a result of the different pulsewidths.

The blistering observation is consistent with the cracking temperature observed for the bonded structures. The samples implanted employing 30-µs and 60-µs pulses were bonded to a silicon wafer with a pregrown 150-nm thermal oxide layer. The bonded structures were then annealed to achieve layer transfer. The cracking temperature could be identified by a clear sound when the structure cleaved. The cracking temperature was 600 °C and 500 °C for the 30-µs and 60-µs samples, respectively.

Fig. 2 displays the histogram of the simulated energy distribution of the implanted hydrogen. It shows that the contribution of low-energy hydrogen is higher for a shorter pulsewidth. These low-energy ions are implanted mainly during the rise and fall of each negative pulse. Based on our simulation, the relative concentration of low-energy ions (below 6 keV) is 33% for a 10-µs pulse. It drops to 17.2% for 60-µs pulses and 13.7% for 100-µs pulses. For a longer pulsewidth, the ratio of the rise and fall time to the total pulse duration is smaller, and the relative portion of these low-energy ions is consequently lower.

The simulated hydrogen depth profiles at pulsewidths of 10 µs, 60 µs, and 100 µs are depicted in Fig. 3. Each depth profile is calculated by summing the weighted contributions at different energies according to Fig. 2. Using a Gaussian implant distribution, the total dose is

\[
N(x) = \sum N_i(x) = \sum \frac{d_i}{\sqrt{2\pi} \Delta R_p} \exp \left[ -\frac{(x - R_p)^2}{2\Delta R_p^2} \right]
\]

where \(N_i(x), d_i, R_p, \text{ and } \Delta R_p\) are the concentration, dose, projected range, and standard deviation, respectively, for each implant energy. The sum of \(d_i\) is equal to \(5 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). The TRIM code [17] is employed to obtain the projected range, \(R_p\), and standard deviation, \(\Delta R_p\), at each implant energy. Fig. 3 illustrates that the 10-µs profile is broader than those of the 60-µs and 100-µs, and the 10-µs sample has more hydrogen on the surface. The difference between the 10-µs and 60-µs samples is bigger than that between the 60-µs and 100-µs samples, because a 500% increase occurred in the pulsewidth from 10-µs to 60-µs, whereas the relative increase is only 67% going from 60 µs to 100 µs. A broader distribution at the same implant dose results in a lower hydrogen peak concentration reducing the bubble density and consequently the cracking efficacy, as illustrated by our cracking experiments. The higher surface hydrogen observed for the 10-µs sample may also diminish the blistering effectiveness and lead to more bonding voids in the ion-cut process.

To verify the simulation results, the samples were analyzed by high depth resolution SIMS, and the hydrogen depth profiles of the 10-, 60-, and 100-µs samples are exhibited in Fig. 4. The SIMS data are qualitatively in line with our theoretical calculation, with the 10-µs pulsewidth hydrogen profile exhibiting discernible higher surface hydrogen component and lower peak hydrogen concentration than the 60-µs and 100-µs samples. However, it should be noted that our simulation does not take into account surface hydrogen adsorption during the PIII process, particularly during the “off-cycle” period of the high voltage pulse, which is much longer than the “on-cycle” period because of the low duty cycle used in this work to keep the sample temperature low. On the other hand, both of these effects are manifested in the SIMS profiles, and comparing Figs. 3 and 4, neutral hydrogen adsorption onto the silicon surface during the PIII process is severe. No easy way exists to simulate neutral hydrogen adsorption because it depends on the plasma and surface chemistry, and so it is very difficult to match the theoretical and
experimental data (from surface to about 0.04 μm, according to the SIMS data) perfectly. Experimentally, the amount of adsorbed hydrogen can be reduced only by shortening the total exposure or implantation time. This reduction can be achieved by using a higher plasma density or higher pulsing frequency, both of which requiring more efficient sample cooling.

Our theoretical and experimental results indicate that long pulse PIII gives rise to a smaller amount of low-energy hydrogen ions and, consequently, better blistering characteristics. For PIII ion-cut, a long pulse is thus preferred. However, in practice, it is more difficult to maintain stable plasma in a long pulse mode because the plasma sheath may propagate to the vacuum chamber wall [15]. Hence, long pulse PIII demands better hardware, such as a larger chamber and higher power RF source (to create a higher density plasma and thinner sheath), but it should be noted that more efficient sample cooling will be needed if the plasma density is high.

V. CONCLUSION

Silicon wafers implanted by hydrogen PIII using a longer pulselength show better blistering characteristics. Our simulation and experimental results indicate that it is caused by a decrease in the relative amount of the low-energy hydrogen component broadening the hydrogen depth profile and reduces the peak hydrogen concentration. Therefore, a long pulselength is preferred for the hydrogen PIII/ion-cut process, even though better hardware is required.
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