Railroad Infrastructure 4.0: Development and Application of an Automatic Ballast Support Condition Assessment System



The 3rd Workshop on Railway Operation for Safety and Reliability

November 29, 2018



Yu Qian, Ph.D. Assistant Professor University of South Carolina



Biography

Current Position:

Assistant Professor
 Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering
 University of South Carolina



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Education:

- Ph.D., Civil Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
- M.S., Theoretical and Applied Mechanics University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
- M.S.(Honor), Civil Engineering University of Kansas
- B.S., Civil Engineering Huazhong University of Science & Technology
- B.S., Construction Management
 Wuhan University



The State of South Carolina

South Carolina: One of the 13 Original US Colonies.



(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hong Kong)

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Richland_County,_South_Carolina)

The University of South Carolina

The **University of South Carolina** (established in 1801) is a public university in Columbia, South Carolina, United States, with seven satellite campuses. Its main campus covers over 359 acres (145 ha) in downtown Columbia.



Outline

- Motivation
- Approach
- Laboratory validation
- Field Application
- Future Development





Slide 6

Railroad Ballast

- Typically "uniformly" graded unbound aggregates
- Similar to highway base course
- NOT a continuous medium



Ballast Support Issue



Ballast Support Issue



How to detect different support conditions in the field?

Ballast Support Issue



How to reasonably detect different support conditions in the field?

Ballast Support Condition Detection



- **Problems**: 1) Destructive Test
 - 2) High Failure Rate
 - 3) Unreliable Results
 - 4) Expensive in terms of time and labor

Research Objectives

- **Objective:** Develop a non-intrusive method to quantify support conditions and their variation over time/tonnage
- **Purpose:** Provide rail industry with a tool to better prioritize surfacing
- **Challenge:** It is inherently difficult to quantify the pressure distribution at the crosstie-ballast interface





Support Condition Back-Calculator Facts



- Crosstie divided into 6 bins of equal width:
 - Each bin consists a percentage of total reaction force
- 9 model inputs:
 - Known bending moments from 7 locations (5 from strain gauges, 2 from end conditions)
 - 2 approximated rail seat loads (from load cell, WILD, or rail-mounted strain gauges)
 - Rail seat load is assumed to be uniformly distributed across rail seat
- 2 boundary conditions:
 - Force equilibrium (all bins should sum to approximately 100%)
 - Force value for each bin should not be negative



Slide 14

Support Condition Back-Calculator Facts



Optimization Algorithm: *Simulated Annealing*

<u>Definition:</u>

A probabilistic technique for approximating the global optimum of a given function

<u>Benefits:</u>

- Has a probability of accepting a "worse" solution
- Pareto distribution is chosen as random variable generator
- Avoids stopping at a local optimum



Wikipedia: Simulated Annealing



Initial condition, iteration step 0



Initial condition, iteration step 100



Initial condition, iteration step 200



Initial condition, iteration step 300



Initial condition, iteration step 400



Initial condition, iteration step 550

Slide 22

Support Condition Back-Calculator Facts



Laboratory Experimentation Equipment

• Loading frame - Static Load Testing Machine (SLTM) at RAIL



Supporting rubber pads



Influence of Support Condition on Crosstie Bending Moments

Rail Seat Load: 10 kips (44.5 kN), Healthy Crosstie



Lab Setup and Back-Calculator Result: Lack of Rail Seat Support



Comparison between Lab Support Conditions and Back-Calculator Results

Full Support



Light Center Binding



High Center Binding



Lack of Center Support

Field instrumentation Site Layout

• 50 surface strain gauges installed on 10 crossties



 Nearby Wheel Impact Load Detector (WILD) site provides wheel load data

Ballast Pressure Limit States

- Ballast pressure calculated based on uniform reaction assumption: 32 psi
- AREMA allowable ballast pressure under concrete crossties: 85 psi
- Ballast pressure calculated based on AREMA allowable subgrade bearing stress (25 psi) using Talbot equation: 55 psi

$$h = (\frac{16.8p_a}{p_c})^{4/5}$$

Where, h = Support ballast depth $p_a =$ Stress at bottom of tie (top of ballast) $p_c =$ Allowable subgrade stress

Distribution of Ballast Pressure for Instrumented Crossties



Distribution of Ballast Pressure under Loaded Axle: 8:00 AM, 5/26/2015



- · - · Calculated Ballast Pressure Based on Uniform Support Assumption

— Calculated Ballast Pressure Based on AREMA Allowable Subgrade Bearing Stress

- · · - AREMA Allowable Ballast Surface Stress under Concrete Crosstie

Distribution of Ballast Pressure under Loaded Axle: 8:00 AM, 5/26/2015



Distribution of Ballast Pressure under Loaded Axle: 8:00 AM, 5/26/2015



Distribution of Ballast Pressure under Loaded Axle: 8:00 AM, 5/26/2015



What is Railroad 4.0?



Industry 4.0 is the current trend of automation and data exchange in manufacturing technologies. It includes cyber-physical systems, the Internet of things and cloud computing. (Wikipedia)

Railroad Infrastructure 4.0is the current trend of automation and data exchange in
It includes cyber-physical systems, the Internet of
things and cloud computing.

Automatic Track Support Condition Assessment System



Automatic Track Support Condition Assessment System





U.S. Department of Transportation Canga et al. (2017) JRC Federal Transit Administration Canga et al. (2017) APTA Canga et al. (2018) *AREMA* Canga et al. (2018) *CBM*



Ballast Pressure Index (BPI)

- A quantifiable value which estimates the uniformity of ballast distribution below a crosstie
- Ballast Pressure Index (BPI) is defined as the calculated ballast pressure, normalized to the theoretical, uniform ballast pressure within each bin

$$BPI = \frac{P_c}{P_u}$$

Where, BPI = Ballast Pressure Index P_c = Pressure calculated from back-calculator P_u = Pressure based on assumed uniform support

Ballast Pressure Index for Loaded Axle: 8:00 AM, 5/26/2015











Ballast Pressure Index for Loaded Axle: 8:00 AM, 7/8/2015











Ballast Pressure Index for Loaded Axle: 8:00 AM, 8/14/2015











Ballast Pressure Index for Loaded Axle: 10:00 AM, 8/14/2015











Ballast Pressure Index for Loaded Axle: 1:00 PM, 8/14/2015











Outline

- Motivation
- Approach
- Laboratory validation
- Field Application
- Future Development





- Crosstie divided into 6 bins of equal width:
 - Each bin consists a percentage of total reaction force
- 9 model inputs:
 - Known bending moments from 7 locations (5 from strain gauges, 2 from end conditions)
 - 2 approximated rail seat loads (from load cell, WILD, or rail-mounted strain gauges)
 - Rail seat load is assumed to be uniformly distributed across rail seat
- 2 boundary conditions:
 - Force equilibrium (all bins should sum to approximately 100%)
 - Force value for each bin should not be negative



- Crosstie divided into bins of equal width:
 - Each bin consists a percentage of total reaction force
- • model inputs:
 - Known bending moments from
 [∞] locations ([∞] from strain gauges, 2 from end conditions)
 - 2 approximated rail seat loads (from load cell, WILD, or rail-mounted strain gauges)
 - Rail seat load is assumed to be uniformly distributed across rail seat
- 2 boundary conditions:
 - Force equilibrium (all bins should sum to approximately 100%)
 - Force value for each bin should not be negative



Methods to Measure Surface Strain



Infinite number of surface strain measure by laser beam

Able to back-calculate crosstie stiffness and input load as long as the number of bins is smaller than the number of the surface strain





Methods to Measure Surface Strain



Data Acquisition – What Drones Can See

Application in High Speed Rail



Related Experience



I. Label: Jeep. confidence: 32.8028) 2. Label: car Wheel. confidence: 3.3468. 3. Label: Model T, confidence: 3.0822% 4. Label: rotter truck, confidence: 2.022% 5. Jabel: rater truck, confidence: 2.028 5. Jabel: rater conf

Procesing frame

Feature Selection & Machine Learning-based Target Recognition



Extended Track Inspection Framework



intelligent <u>R</u>isk <u>A</u>ssessment and <u>P</u>rediction <u>S</u>ystem

Contact Information



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Yu Qian, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor Email: yuqian@sc.edu

